

## Jack Venrick

---

**Subject:** FW: Montana Voting Machines Integrity and Security - Did the Courts Really Decide - NO  
**Attachments:** Voting Rights Litigation Tracker 2020 | Brennan Center for Justice  
**Importance:** High

---

**From:** Jack Venrick [mailto:jacksranch@freedomforallseasons.org]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, July 14, 2021 2:43 PM  
**To:** 'Tom France'  
**Subject:** Montana Voting Machines Integrity and Security - Did the Courts Really Decide - NO  
**Importance:** High

June 2, 2021

Subject: Montana Voting Machines Integrity and Security

Dear Representative Tom France:

Thank you very much for responding to my research report to Montana Secretary of State – Elections (Sent April 21, 2021) and Lake County Election Administrator.

- You were the only one out of 144 House members plus 50 Senate members that did respond.
- Nor did the Montana Secretary of State – Elections office respond.
- Sorry for the delay this took me longer than I thought to put all this together.
- Regarding your memo attachment.
  1. The memo is not a scientific statistical based study.
  2. Anyone can write a memo and cherry pick their cases or data to make their personal biased point but this does not make it true.
  3. In engineering classes we students would call that “dry labbing” meaning you would not take real world data to do your labs, you would make it up to fit what was expected.
  4. There are too many more statistically based studies by professionals in data security which I show in my research that there was more than enough fraud to change the outcome.
  5. Morally, even one fraudulent vote or ballot in a municipal, state or national election is found that is proof enough to rightfully call for the problems to be corrected and to call for a revote.

6. Whether there is one fraudulent vote or millions in a nationwide election legally & morally & spiritually, fraud is fraud, how many times do you need to stab the corpse to declare it dead?
  7. This is not about whom won or lost, this is about true and honest elections in America, without which there is no true and honest freedom or liberty or unalienable rights!
  8. Even your home town Missoula has been called out for voting irregularities - [LINK](#)
- Maybe you did not read Page 43 & 44 of Election Fraud in America – A National Disgrace.
    1. Also note the Abstract, Page 2 re. Courts refusing to hear evidence or cases because of lack of standing or maybe you do not believe it which is fair.
    2. Please Link to my 79 pages of research and go to Page 43 [LINK](#) .
      - The first bullet on Page 43 is a link to 48 pages of some 70 cases listed on the ABA web site before SCOTUS as of Feb. 23, 2021.
      - If you scan through these election cases you will get the drift that the courts on the whole are refusing to hear election fraud evidence.
        - ✚ Each line below is a quote from one case from top to bottom, I am not cherry picking!
          1. “Denied the motion to expedite consideration of case without issuing an opinion.”
          2. The district court ruled in the defendants favor but Ninth Circuit reverse...The Supreme court granted certiorari ....(the right of a higher courts e.g. SCOTUS to put off the case and consider it later)
          3. The court denied the motion to expedite .....But the case was distributed for conference..
          4. ..Plaintiffs filed a motion for expedited consideration of their petition, which the Supreme Court denied without issuing an opinion...
          5. The court concluded by noting that the suit seems less about achieving the relief Plaintiffs seek as much of that relief is beyond the power of this Court...
          6. Supreme Court is expected to take up the merits of plaintiffs arguments that the board did not have authority to agree to extend deadline for accepting absentee ballots.
- **After studying samples of these 70 cases, please see table below representing my Relative Order of Magnitude (numbers may change more than ratios) estimate how the decisions were made.**
    1. **The real standing question is why anyone would take on the legal cost to challenge any voter fraud!**

Row	Type of Cases	Estimated % of Total ABA 70 SCOTUS
1	Cases Decided on Election Fraud Evidence	3%
2	Cases NOT Decided on Election Fraud Evidence	87%
3	Cases Going Both Ways or Neutral or Unknown	10%
4	Total	100%
5	<a href="http://www.freedomforallseasons.org">www.freedomforallseasons.org</a>	

- Also note below a graph MIT has used in a study I have posted in my research report [Election Fraud – A National Disgrace](#), Page 77 of 79.
  - This radical change of voting pattern should be a red flag to any inquisitive mind especially those benefiting from the change.
  - When I do an internet search with key words “**impact of mail in votes on 2020 election**” there were 9 pages of hits using [Startpage](#) search engine.
    - At a glance the average is roughly 12 hits per page so that is 108 or roughly 100 to 120 hits.
    - As I scan these pages most are liberal sources, e.g. media, academia, so called factcheck.org, National Geographic, Scientific American, Pew Trusts, Science, et al .
      - with a few scattered conservative sources, e.g. Heritage, National Review, etc.
    - I have noticed this trend in many searches that there appears to be a larger predominance of liberal sources appearing on the internet in my search results.
    - As the liberals control media, big tech, academia and most municipal (especially large city and county), state and federal agencies this makes sense to me.
    - The Deep State thinking of government agencies, big tech, academia, media, big trusts like Pew is summed up by no less than this site - <https://www.dictionary.com/e/absentee-ballot-vs-mail-in-ballot/>
      - **QUOTED BELOW**

**“Misinformation about voting by mail”  
(misstated by the author of this article on  
dictionary.com Jack’s opinion)**

“Since we’re here, let’s put to bed some myths and misinformation about voting by mail:”


1. “Voting fraud is extremely rare in the US, and voting by mail is no exception.” In the past 20 years, over 250,000,000 votes have been cast by mail, and according to data from the [Heritage Foundation](#), there

have been only 1,285 proven cases of voter fraud resulting in 1,100 convictions.

2. **Studies done by such organizations as [Stanford University](#) have found that voting by mail does not favor voter share or turnout of either major political party. Expanding access to voting by mail is generally considered great for all voters and their ability to exercise their right to vote.”**
- **Jack Comment - the message re. dictionary.com is they are spinning their definitions through their own liberal political bias intentionally.**
  - To the authors credit they used both a conservative and a liberal source to make their point however, they minimize the conservative belief and maximize the liberal belief.
    - **The Heritage study** is a sample of individual voters actually charged and convicted, i.e. this does not prove that “voting fraud is extremely rare in the US”!
      1. **This editor with maybe a Journalism degree, no statistical, math or engineering background is misstating the facts making a sweeping unsubstantiated editorial supporting what he believes.**
    - **The Stanford U. study was done by 3 Political Science and 1 Economic doctoral candidates.**
      1. They devote all 14 pages of the 15 pages studying Democrat voting changes with Vote By Mail (VBM), 2 pages of References and 13 pages of Appendix of which 2 pages devoted to Republican voting changes with state using Vote By Mail.
      2. Their study results show that there is a few percent increase in Democrats voting when states changes to VOM and a less than 1% decline in Republican VOM. They claim this is statistically insignificant. At the same time this study shows a slight increase of Democrats voting VOM in those states allowing it vs. a slight decrease of Democrats voting in Non VBM States.
      3. This study does not address the increase of probability of voter fraud when municipalities and states expand VBM (Vote By Mail).
      4. It does not address the lack of Photo ID for those voting.
      5. It does not address the lack of doing a signature match on each ballot.
      6. It does not address all the dead people voting and the dirty voter registration data bases of the county and city municipal corporations.
      7. It does not address the massive electronic hacking of the key swing states during the last 2020 presidential election which **HAS BEEN PROVEN** by expert security witnesses.

**8. These 4 Stanford doctoral candidates should put a disclaimer at the top of their study stating “IN A PERFECT WORLD OUR STUDY RESULTS ARE PERFECT”**

- My point here is the untrained eye sees only what it wants to see and defends that to its death.
- Why can I see there is clear election fraud and document 79 pages of soft to hard proof as a Montana educated multiple engineer,
- While a MSM journalist or Stanford Political Science or Economic doctoral candidate cannot?



- 
- Also see below re. elections.
  1. [Real Clear Investigations published](#) (CNTL CLICK) a detailed investigation that I wrote up on voting regulations in Europe and developed countries. 46 of the 47 European countries require photo voter IDs (and the UK will soon make it 47 of 47). I think that you will appreciate the history of why different countries have developed more secure voting processes over time after they have discovered problems with fraud. The [Wall Street Journal](#) and [other outlets](#) have picked up on our work. I have also been doing many interviews that I hope to share in my next email to you. The more people download [this research paper on voting rules](#) and the one on the [FBI's errors](#) will get more other academics to pay attention to it.
  2. A record number of voting-related lawsuits were filed in 2020, many of them related to Covid-19's impact on elections. Many of these suits remain pending in 2021, while new lawsuits continue to be filed. This tracker seeks to aggregate all active litigation in state and federal courts pertaining to voters' ability to cast their

ballots and have them counted in 2021 and beyond. This list does not include cases pertaining to candidacy issues, ballot initiatives, or redistricting.

3. To date, we are tracking 29 lawsuits in fourteen states plus D.C. So far in 2021, at least 21 voting cases have been filed in ten states, and Georgia leads the way with eight new lawsuits in 2021. We are also tracking an additional eight lawsuits in seven states and D.C. that were filed prior to 2021 but remain active and bear on voting access going forward.

4. [The evidence was never considered.](#)

- Plus the courts are obviously highly political, i.e. they frequently decide based on their political bias selectively using the law outside of the basic fundamental, founding and natural law established corner stone's.
  1. This is why the nominations of judges to SCOTUS become a disparate political battle as well as the U.S. District courts, et al.
- Finally here is the evidence in your own home town Missoula, Montana last election was fraudulent.
  1. <https://www.gopetition.com/petitions/demand-full-audit-of-missoula-county-2020-election.html>
    - a) Missoula County Elections made national headlines earlier this year after a review of ballot signature envelopes found that 4,592 out of all 72,491 mail-in ballots —6.33% of the ballots cast in Missoula County in the 2020 General election— did not have matching signature envelopes, a state election law requirement, making it illegal to count those votes. When asked by a lawyer for the audit group what happened, Missoula County Elections Administrator Bradley Seaman reportedly, “appeared extremely nervous and had no explanation.” Community members are organizing to demand a full audit of the county.
    - b) Also, data from the Center for Tech & Civic Life shows that 30 of 56 Montana counties including Missoula County (Montana’s “bluest” county) were provided with CTCL grant money funded to the tune of \$350 million dollars by Facebook's Mark Zuckerberg. On October 6th, 2020, Missoula County Elections Administrator Bradley Seaman received approval from the

Board of County Commissioners for an agreement with CTCL for grant money in the amount of \$312,818.

Sincerely,

Jack Venrick  
Rollins, Montana

[www.freedomforallseasons.org](http://www.freedomforallseasons.org)

-  [Jacks Letter to Montana Secretary of State Elections – Sent April 21, 2021 \(Never Replied to date\)](#)
-  [Election Fraud in America – A Nation Disgrace](#)

---

**From:** Tom France [mailto:tom.france@mtleg.gov]  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 13, 2021 10:14 AM  
**To:** Jack Venrick  
**Subject:** Re: Montana Voting Machines Integrity and Security

Mr. Venrick - thanks for sending your analysis. Every election in Montana was tabulated by independent election boards and certified by the Montana of Secretary of State. I find nothing in your analysis that makes me doubt the integrity of Montana's elections. By way of another view on election integrity, I attach a lengthy memo prepared by Wyoming Representative Liz Cheney which looked at the presidential vote in all of the states where President Biden's margin was narrow. As Representative Cheney's memo underscores, numerous federal and state courts examined the election results and heard arguments from attorneys before concluding there was no evidence of fraud and no basis for questioning the outcome. I'm certain the courts in Montana, either federal or state, would come to the same conclusion should you choose to pursue your claims in front of an independent forum.

Rep. Tom France  
HD 94

---

**From:** "Jack Venrick" <jacksranch@freedomforallseasons.org>  
**To:** "Jack Venrick" <jacksranch@freedomforallseasons.org>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, May 11, 2021 1:25:26 PM  
**Subject:** Montana Voting Machines Integrity and Security

May 11, 2021

To: Montana Secretary of State – Elections (Sent April 21, 2021)

cc: Lake County Election Administrator

bcc:

Montana State House

**Montana State Senate**

My Property Rights Friends – Group 1, Group 2, Group 3, Group 4

Subject: Montana Voting Machines Integrity and Security

Please find the following research reports.

- 1) Attached is a 7 page letter (Word document) regarding election machines in Lake County Election Department, Polson Montana.
- 2) Also please find linked my latest continually updated research report - **[Election Fraud in America – A National Disgrace](#)**
  - If you have seen this research before, please start at the back of the report and read forward until it looks familiar.
  - There is much new information on the 2020=2021 election fraud.

I have received no response from the Montana Secretary of State Elections Office since I sent this letter nearly 3 weeks ago at the advice of Tone Kramer our Lake County Election Administrator.

Sincerely,

Jack Venrick

Rollins, Montana

[www.freedomforallseasons.org](http://www.freedomforallseasons.org)

Attached: Word Document

--

Representative Tom France

House District 94

[tom.france@mtleg.gov](mailto:tom.france@mtleg.gov)

406-396-5086

Legislators are publicly elected officials. Legislator emails sent or received involving legislative business may be subject to the Right to Know provisions of the Montana Constitution and may be considered a "public record" pursuant to Montana law. As such, email, sent or received, its sender and receiver, and the email contents, may be subject to public disclosure, except as otherwise provided by Montana law.