

----- Original Message -----

Sent: Sunday, July 11, 2010 8:25 AM

Subject: Re: State review continues

Jack,

Yes, you are right on; people are waking up. Formerly mind-their-own-business citizens are becoming radicalized by agencies that, to paraphrase an old quote, commit their idiotic abuses faster than we can catalogue them.

A local government watchdog includes in his posts this passage from the Open Public Meetings Act that succinctly characterizes not just the need for transparency but the proper relationship between citizen and government:

The people of this state do not yield their sovereignty to the agencies that serve them. The people, in delegating authority, do not give their public servants the right to decide what is good for the people to know and what is not good for them to know. The people insist on remaining informed so that they may maintain control over the instruments that they have created.

For four years citizens have been given a seat in the back of the room, waiting for their three minutes to speak, while Ecology/DCD holds court. The flow of information is so tightly controlled that they dilute our real questions in their own hand, resulting in a FAQ format that is the informational equivalent of Home Run Derby. Citizen advise and consent has only really only really "counted" - as Michelle reminded Ken a few weeks ago - during formal public comment period, which by my calculations has only amounted to 8% of the time. Now we are at the most critical juncture of new rules we will be living with 100%, 24/7 and we are again outside the ropes.

A pro-Ecology, pro-fundamentalist SMP supporter recently said "everyone in Washington is a shoreline property owner." This is the attitude of local planning bureaucracies, state agencies, and self-interested NGOs. Wrong. That is not what the SMA says. Property owners are the ones with skin in the game, and our comments need to count now than ever. We are much more than the 8% solution.

Jim

----- Original Message -----

Sent: Friday, July 09, 2010 1:15 PM

Subject: Re: State review continues

To: Scott and All Olympic Jefferson County Rural Property Owners:

I am posting all your comments on this latest State and County predetermined agenda on my site after scrubbing out your email. If anyone has a problem with this, I will unlink it until you wish otherwise. My goal here is to show real life examples of how the government Matrix works, so people can better recognize it for what the hidden agenda is behind the scenes.

About half way down on the page under "2010 Postings".

<http://www.freedomforallseasons.org/EmbattledPropertyOwnerStoriesNew.asp>

Also please note the attached PDF "How to Handle Predetermined Consensus Meetings" and "What is the Hegelian Dialectic"? These are key tactics constantly being used by the all levels of our fascists governments, i.e. city, county, state, fed, UN and up the ladder. I could a write book on government and nongovernment agendas. I don't have to tell you, this is being used big time by the State and its little and big green counties and cities especially around Puget Sound and anywhere there are universities and colleges and grant money from the government flowing in. Green activists are funded by our own property and labor to bring us down to there feudal and barbaric mind sets.

American Stewards..of the range have perfected stopping the global controlled "government" with a required coordination technique you can read about on their site
https://americanstewards.us/index.php?option=com_flexicontent&view=items&cid=12&id=444&Itemid=31

All these tools plus the pro property rights legal foundations such as Pacific Legal Foundation, Mountain States Legal Foundation, Institute of Justice, Groen Stephens, et al are the basic and few weapons of choice we have to fight back with at this time. But this will grow as people like you expose the green agenda. I witnessed Senator Roach at a local Enumclaw meeting taking control of a predetermined agenda State DOT meeting where they spent years setting up Roundabouts for the unsuspecting around

the state and at Enumclaw and most especially a major SR 169 intersection a few minutes from me. They went to Europe and got all the data and came back, got the budget and started implementing these dam roundabouts all over the state, some 100. They held MOCK town hall meetings pretending to coordinate with us, what a joke. We got Senator Roach in, and she nailed em big time but had to go the governor to do it, if you can believe it. The State Matrix is so entangled, Senator Roach had to go to the governor to command the DOT to back off. Ultimately they transferred the \$4.5 million budget to only modifying the intersection by straightening and add turn lanes. The DOT was also jerked up for playing games with the accident states on the road. One very bright fellow in the local meeting caught on that.

I have seen this with the Rails to Trails takings, the "uncritical Area Ordinances", concrete roundabouts for fish at a million bucks a piece and charged to the local unsuspecting rural property owners, of course. Ron Ewart flushed this out. They do this any opportunity they get. Our government flourishes on lies because they well know the truth would kill em.

I like to think, you all are making history here. I was raised in a government family and have family and friends in government. But I will spend the rest of my life exposing this orchestrated fascism. It is a deadly cancer growing rapidly around the world. They have a Hegelian Dialectic predetermined agenda that works nearly every time and no one can see it and few understand it.

The government types have become predatory. My Father was a U.S Forest Ranger and servant to the Montana ranchers, loggers and miners and well knew his place and respected them greatly because both Dad and Mom were raised on homesteads in Montana. Now we have "government" types who have no connection to the land and no credentials (planners) telling us what to do and the few with credentials in the government are so brain washed by the state run academia, they don't know where they live, i.e. in a fascist democracy or a Constitutional Republic.

As soon as I receive permission to post some additional material on events in Stevens County Washington, I will send out. You are going to be happy to see this and hopefully all of us will have another potential super catapult to use. Rural property owners are starting to wake up to the tactics being used on them. Our bows and arrows are relatively minimal against the state big budgets and political weapons used. The tools available to us are far and few in-between. I think you will be impressed by this new potential tool. We are fighting for our lives with an enemy that has been so thoroughly indoctrinated, they cannot conceive there is a civil war brewing AND another American Revolution. At this point in time, it seems the only viable option and I do not say that lightly. I have people on my little country road that just do not get it.

We are more than justified and long over due to rise up and throw back this fascist belief system which thinks it can steal our property, traditions and then have the gull to put it up for debate so people outside our local areas can vote on how they think we should manage our local private and public property. Of course it would never be a open debate because the State and their county and city municipal corporations will hide and distort the facts and the big picture.

Jack Venrick

www.freedomforallseasons.org

Enumclaw, Washington

----- Original Message -----

Sent: Friday, July 09, 2010 11:20 AM

Subject: RE: State review continues

It is normal for an oversight agency to prepare a "Responsiveness Summary", wherein the agency will review how well public comments have been addressed (i.e., by Jefferson County's response to comments). Comments, responses, and Ecology's evaluation of whether the response is sufficient should all be part of the "Responsiveness Summary". The public comments may not be shared in raw form. Instead, they will likely be compiled into a tabular format of comments, responses, and Ecology's interpretation of response sufficiency. Thus it is important to demand that the public comments be posted and shared publicly in their raw form also. That way we can verify that all comment issues are in fact included and responded to in the Responsiveness Summary, and ensure nothing has been dropped by the wayside.

Scott

From: Jim Hagen

Sent: Friday, July 09, 2010 8:12 AM

To: ken shock; E S

Subject: Re: State review continues

Ecology sent all the public comments to Jefferson on May 28 for County "feedback." At that time Ecology said they would create a summary of comments that would be posted on their web-site for public viewing. (They have said posting individual comments would "take up an inordinate amount of server space."). The Ecology web-site has described this as a "Responsiveness Summary." But it is over two months after the April 20 public hearing and still there is nothing for the interested citizens of Jefferson to review. But the County has them. Can't the citizens of Jefferson be extended the same courtesy as the agencies that supposedly serve them? This is not exactly Responsive. The citizens of Jefferson are once again on the outside looking in.

The sheer volume of comments and subsequent delay in making them available to the public ought to be the canary-in-the-coal-mine that the SMP process in Jefferson has failed to represent and serve the people directly affected. It certainly couldn't have been a surprise that there would have been this level of citizen comment. Of the roughly 200 people who showed up at the public hearing at Ft. Worden, nearly 90% expressed opposition to the proposed new shoreline rules. No matter, to date citizen participation has continuously been marginalized and even belittled by the County. With that kind of track record, people are very curious to see how their "feedback" is being translated by same County staff. Add to that Commissioner Austin's remark that the 200 people at the hearing were the angry ones and didn't represent the true sentiment of the County. This is the same John Austin who told a citizen the 150 foot buffers were final before the Planning Commission had even embarked on their review of the draft SMP. The philosopher William James called this "contempt prior to investigation."

At this time it is more important than ever that citizens be kept directly in the loop. Citizens deserve immediate access to the same individual comments - not just a summary - as are available to Ecology and the County.

Happy Summer,

Jim

----- Original Message -----

Sent: Thursday, July 08, 2010 12:27 PM

Subject: Re: State review continues

This should be done in the form of a legal demand letter from the attorneys of either OSF, or CAPR - or both - and delivered to the each of the BOCC, personally !

On Thu, Jul 8, 2010 at 8:23 AM, E S <preussenfrau@hotmail.com> wrote:

If Michelle is making substantive comments as an employee facilitator of the county/DOE then the process is obviously flawed. It also is blatantly obvious that Michelle's job is to skew the process into whatever the county commissioners and DOE thinks it should be.

For a public employee this is highly unethical if not also illegal.

Since we still live in the USA and "We the People" takes precedent and not -"we the bureaucrats" or "we the politicians" all comments must be made public ASAP!

RCW 90.58.090 among others that Jim Hagen found obviously will have legal ramification?

Edel

Subject: Re: FW: State review continues

Date: Thu, 8 Jul 2010 07:27:57 -0700

Ken, you are right. The County must make Michelle's comments available and allow comment on them. State agencies allow comments to be submitted, but do not make their replies available until it is too late to respond back. Kind of a parent-child relationship, "I don't want to hear your excuses, we're doing it because 'I said so' "

----- Original Message -----

Sent: Wednesday, July 07, 2010 10:41 PM

Subject: Re: FW: State review continues

"1. The County is currently working to provide Ecology some feedback on the issues raised by the 300+ comment letters received during the state-wide public comment period. "

OK, why was the comment period state wide ??? Oh, I know, everyone on the planet has an interest in MY PROPERTY !

Why can our 'County' (who else but - Michelle?) provide DOE with 'some feedback' after the comment period ended ??

The County is US, WE THE PEOPLE - not Michelle. We have spoken and she has no right to clarify or 'feedback' - ANYTHING. (we should demand copies of everything she fed back)

This is especially true because she is paid from a state DOE grant - a very incestuous situation !! Why is a defacto state employee representing the property owners of Jefferson County who pay the property taxes and who are being hurt by this SMP ?? (essentially DOE represents the county in DOE decision making)

As far as I am concerned Michelle's actions reopen the comment process and roll back the timeline, otherwise we are denied equal protection as guaranteed by the 14th amendment! Our comments have not been protected from her SPIN !

Ken

On Wed, Jul 7, 2010 at 2:48 PM, Norman MacLeod <gaelwolf@waypt.com> wrote:

From: Michelle McConnell [mailto:mmcconnell@co.jefferson.wa.us]

Sent: Wednesday, July 07, 2010 4:15 PM

To: Michelle McConnell

Subject: SMP: State review continues

Greetings & Happy Summer Interested Parties!

STATE PROCESS

The State's review of our Locally Approved SMP (LA-SMP) continues with WA Department of Ecology:

1. The County is currently working to provide Ecology some feedback on the issues raised by the 300+ comment letters received during the state-wide public comment period.

2. After our Response to Comments is submitted to Ecology (within the next month or so), Ecology will prepare their Findings and Conclusions along with their decision to A) approve; B) approve with required changes; or C) deny the SMP. If changes are required, some dialogue may be required to find complete agreement between the State and the County.

3. After Ecology approval, the final step is for the Board of County Commissioners to adopt the new SMP by ordinance as new components of the Comprehensive Plan and Unified Development Code.

So while the process is moving forward, we still have a few months to go before final adoption and the new SMP takes effect. Stay tuned... this email list will continue to receive project updates.

NEWSPAPER CLARIFICATIONS

You may have seen the recent articles in last week's Leader newspaper – a few points of clarification are needed:

- Buffers & Setbacks – While it may seem a finer point, there is a difference between the terms buffers and setbacks as proposed by the LA-SMP. The 150-foot distance proposed is a standard shoreline buffer for stream/river and marine shorelines that fall under SMP jurisdiction. There are separate 5-foot side-yard and 10-foot building setbacks proposed as well. Far from a 'one-size-fits-all' approach, the LA-SMP also proposes 6 options to adjust the standard shoreline buffer when specific situations arise.

The current SMP has only requires setbacks: 30 to 100-feet for residential and a minimum of 15-feet for commercial and urban development. The County's Critical Areas Ordinance has included a 150-foot buffer for fish & wildlife habitat conservation areas since early-2008, and has successfully withstood legal challenge. The City of Port Townsend SMP requires buffers that range from 50 to 200-feet. Other jurisdictions around Puget Sound also have 150-foot buffers in place (e.g. Whatcom County).

- SMP jurisdiction – Not all shoreline areas in the county meet the statutory definition for 'Shoreline of the State'. The SMP will apply to all shorelines that meet the criteria for SMP jurisdiction. Lakes less than 20 acres in size, rivers/streams with less than 20 cubic feet per second mean annual flow, and lands under federal or tribal ownership do not qualify.

Thanks for your continued interest,

Michelle

No reply to this message is required. You have received this message as a member of the Jefferson County Shoreline Master Program (SMP) Interested Parties Email Distribution List. If you do not wish to receive further project notices, reply to this message with "UNSUBSCRIBE" as the subject and body text. Anyone who wants to be added to the list may send an email with "SUBSCRIBE" as the subject and body text. Please note: Recipient names and email addresses are not shown to keep that information private.

Michelle McConnell, Associate Planner - LRP Lead

Jefferson County Department of Community Development

Long Range Planning Division

621 Sheridan St., Port Townsend, WA 98368

MAIN 360.379.4450 DIRECT 360.379.4484 FAX 360.379.4473

WEB www.co.jefferson.wa.us/commdevelopment

OFFICE OPEN: 9:00 a - 4:30 p Monday - Thursday; Closed Friday

All e-mail sent to this address will be received by the Jefferson County e-mail system and may be subject to Public Disclosure under Chapter 42.56 RCW and as such may be viewed by parties other than the intended recipient.